

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS DO N. 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

			www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,509	04/05/2001	Karin Lehmann-Bruinsma	AREN-0207	7872	
	590 06/25/2003				
COZEN O'CO	ONNOR, P.C. I STREET		EXAMINER LI, RUIXIANG		
	IA, PA 19103-3508				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646	#15	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applica		Applicant(s)	ant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/826,509	LEHMANN-BRUINSMA ET AL.					
merview cummary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Ruixiang Li		1646				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Ruixiang Li</u> .	(3) Michael stra	<u>her</u> .		•			
(2) <u>Yvonne Eyler</u> .	(4) <u>Ann Wieczo</u>	<u>rek</u> .		·			
Date of Interview: 24 June 2003.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's rep	oresentative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>101-103 and 105</u> .		•					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Herrick-Davis et al, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences</u> 861:140-145, 1998; Kohen et al, J. Neurochem. 66:47-56, 1996.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reach	ed. h)∏ N	/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The obviousness of the rejection of claims 101-103 and 105 under 103(a) was discussed. Applicants would consider submitting an amendment clarifying the use of a specific mutated form of human 5-HT6 secrotonin receptor in the screening method.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action I	nas already IT OF THE	been filed, APPI SUBSTANCE O	LICANT IS			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exan	niner's signa	ature, if required				